Exhibit B

```
1
 2
                     UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                    FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY
 3
 4
                                   CIVIL ACTION NUMBER:
    IN RE: VALSARTAN, LOSARTAN,
 5
                                   1:19-md-02875-RBK-JS
    AND IRBESARTAN PRODUCTS
    LIABILITY LITIGATION
 6
                                   STATUS CONFERENCE
                                    (Via telephone)
 7
         Wednesday, December 9, 2020
 8
         Commencing at 4:00 p.m.
 9
    BEFORE:
                             THE HONORABLE JOEL SCHNEIDER,
                             UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
10
    APPEARANCES:
11
         MAZIE SLATER KATZ & FREEMAN, LLC
12
         BY: ADAM M. SLATER, ESQUIRE
         103 Eisenhower Parkway
13
         Roseland, New Jersey 07068
         For the Plaintiff
14
         GOLOMB & HONIK PC
15
         BY: RUBEN HONIK, ESQUIRE
              DAVID JOHN STANOCH, ESQUIRE
16
         1835 Market Street, Suite 2900
         Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103
17
         For the Plaintiff
18
         KANNER & WHITELEY LLC
              CONLEE S. WHITELEY, ESQUIRE
19
              LAYNE HILTON, ESQUIRE
         701 Camp Street
20
         New Orleans, Louisiana 70130
         For the Plaintiff
21
22
23
               Karen Friedlander, Official Court Reporter
                      friedlanderreporter@gmail.com
24
                             (856) 756-0160
25
             Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography;
          transcript produced by computer-aided transcription.
```

```
APPEARANCES: - CONTINUED
 1
 2
         LEVIN PAPANTONIO
 3
         BY: DANIEL A. NIGH, ESQUIRE
         316 S. Baylen, Suite 600
 4
         Pennsacola, Florida 32502
         For the Plaintiff
 5
         GOLDENBERG LAW PLLC
         BY: MARLENE J. GOLDENBERG, ESQUIRE
 6
         800 Lasalle Avenue
 7
         Suite 2150
         Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402
 8
         For the Plaintiff
 9
         DUANE MORRIS LLP
         BY: SETH A. GOLDBERG, ESQUIRE
10
         30 S. 17th Street
         Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103
11
         For the Defendant ZHP and the Joint Defense Group
12
         GREENBERG TRAURIG LLP
         BY: LORI G. COHEN, ESQUIRE
13
              STEVEN M. HARKINS, ESQUIRE
         3333 Piedmont Road, NE, Suite 2500
14
         Atlanta, Georgia 30305
         For the Defendants, Teva Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd.,
15
         Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc., Actavis LLC, and Actavis
         Pharma, Inc.
16
         BARNES & THORNBURG LLP
17
         BY: SARAH E. JOHNSTON, ESQUIRE
              KRISTEN LEE RICHER, ESQUIRE
18
         2029 Century Park East, Suite 300
         Los Angeles, CA 90067-2904
19
         For the Defendant, CVS Health Co.
20
         ULMER & BERNE LLP
         BY: JEFFREY DANIEL GEOPPINGER, ESQUIRE
21
         600 Vine Street
         Suite 2800
22
         Cincinnati, OH 45202
         For the Defendant, AmerisourceBergen
23
         PIETRAGALLO GORDON ALFANO BOSICK & RASPANTI LLP
24
         BY: CLEM C. TRISCHLER, ESQUIRE
         One Oxford Centre, 38th Floor
25
         Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219
         For the Defendant Mylan and the Joint Defense Group
```

```
1
    A P P E A R A N C E S: - CONTINUED
 2
         KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
         BY: ALEXIA R. BRANCATO, ESQUIRE
 3
              BRITTNEY NAGLE, ESQUIRE
         601 Lexington Avenue
         New York, NY 10022
 4
 5
         HILL WALLACK LLP
         BY: NAKUL Y. SHAH, ESQUIRE
 6
         21 Roszel Road
         Princeton, NJ 08540
 7
         For the Defendant, Hetero Drugs, Hetero Labs
 8
    ALSO PRESENT:
 9
         Judge Magistrate Williams
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
1
    category of no good deed goes unpunished.
 2
             So we think we had done exactly what the Court has
 3
    expected us to do, and we're looking forward to continuing to
    work with plaintiffs on some of the issues they've raised as
 4
 5
    to document productions. And we'll get into depositions, but
 6
    there's no question that defendants satisfied their good faith
 7
    obligation.
 8
             THE COURT: Mr. Slater has raised a question as to
 9
    whether the production is complete.
10
             MR. GOLDBERG: That, Your Honor, that's something I
11
    think all of the defendants have to answer separately.
12
    would have to discuss that with my colleagues, and I think all
    of the defendants can discuss that and then if Your Honor
13
14
    wants us to communicate with plaintiffs. But I don't know
15
    that we were --
16
             THE COURT: Well, let's hear from ZHP. You can only
17
    speak for ZHP. Is ZHP's production done?
18
             MR. GOLDBERG: Your Honor, I don't know the answer to
19
    that. I'd have to coordinate or communicate with my
20
    colleagues on that, you know, who are in the trenches in the
21
    document production. I can certainly let the Court know about
22
    that.
23
                        Well, you should let Mr. Slater know.
24
             How about Teva, Ms. Cohen, is Teva done?
25
             MS. LOCKARD: Your Honor, Victoria Lockard, I can
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

address this. We are in somewhat of a different situation because of the Court's ruling on our TAR CAML motion and the work that remains to be done on what -- the documents that are predicted to be nonresponsive will be processed through a work So there may be some that fall out --(Cross talk.) THE COURT: This is Judge Schneider. What I said was, that Ms. Lockard was correct, that the, quote unquote, nonresponsive documents are a different category, and I do not think that's what Mr. Slater was referring to. MS. LOCKARD: Agreed, and we just needed to put that out on the record as a reminder. But aside from that, we're substantially confused. The only work that is still being done is because we are obligated to produce our privilege log within 30 days of this production. We are doing our QC on the documents that were deemed privileged by the first-line reviewers. So to the extent there are some documents that are deemed -- determined are not privileged and should be produced, those may fall out as well. But other than those two categories, we're substantially complete. MR. GOLDBERG: Your Honor -- sorry, Your Honor, this is Seth Goldberg. Before you go on to the next defendant, I do have information about ZHP and can confirm that other than

two custodians, plaintiffs are aware, we have no doubt with

them. The perception beyond the deadline for those two custodians, as well as spreadsheets that plaintiffs are aware of, that we have an agreement on, and on the work on our privilege log, the ZHP party productions are substantially complete as well.

THE COURT: Mr. Trischler, can you speak to Mylan?

MR. TRISCHLER: Yes. This is Clem Trischler. Good

afternoon once again, Your Honor. With respect to Mylan, the

Court may recall that there was an order entered approximately

in November that dealt with all our requests to cut off the

review using -- based on TAR, and we have been, you know, had

some ongoing meet and confers with the plaintiff in an attempt

to reach an agreement on that.

So as it relates to Mylan, we have a null set of documents that we have not yet reviewed in the hope of reaching an agreement with plaintiffs. If we do not reach an agreement with plaintiffs, then we would obviously need to review that null set if so ordered. So that issue is out there and still pending with respect to my client.

Beyond that, we believe the review is substantially complete, but our position is with respect to those documents we have reviewed is similar to what Ms. Lockard outlined with respect to Teva's, that is. We are reviewing documents that have been coded as privileged, and to the extent our secondary review team determines that a document may have been

```
1
    improperly coded as privileged, there may be additional
 2
    productions there.
 3
             The plaintiffs -- it's on the agenda but the
    plaintiffs raised an issue with respect to withheld documents
 4
 5
    that we are -- that we have committed to reviewing, to make
 6
    sure that we got it right. We believe we did, but to the
 7
    extent we didn't, we're reviewing those documents in good
    faith that they've raised concerned about, and so there will
 9
    be some QC review that we expect to take place over the next
10
    30 days, but we're substantially complete except for the null
11
    set.
12
             THE COURT: And if I remember right, again, counsel,
13
    you can correct me if I'm wrong, I recall entering an order as
14
    to Mylan as to what you call a null set similar to the order
15
    that was entered with regard to Teva; is that right?
16
             MR. TRISCHLER: Yes, that's correct, Your Honor.
17
             THE COURT: Okav.
18
             Aurobindo counsel, are you on the phone?
19
             MS. HEINZ: Yes, Your Honor, good afternoon.
20
             THE COURT: Could you speak to whether the document
21
    production is complete?
22
             MS. HEINZ: Yes, I can, Your Honor. We have
23
    completed our review of the 15 custodians that we had for
24
    Aurobindo, and it's my understanding that it is substantially
25
    complete, and we haven't been made aware of any issues from
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the plaintiffs, that's not to say that none will be raised, but we're happy to work through anything that may come up. I don't anticipate anything, but at this time, we are -- we have finished our review and produced everything we have. THE COURT: And how about Hetero, are they on the phone? MR. SHAH: Yes, Your Honor, this is Nakul Shah on behalf of Hetero Drugs and Hetero Labs. We have completed our document -- yes, Your Honor, we have completed our document production. However, we did receive correspondence from plaintiffs setting forth deficiencies in our production, so we are investigating those issues that were raised by the plaintiffs and we intend to continue to meet and confer with the plaintiffs on these issues, but otherwise, we are complete with our production. THE COURT: Mr. Slater, it sounds like you got the answers you were looking for. Was there anything else you need with regard to this issue? MR. SLATER: I'm going to hand this off now to my colleagues who can address the other issues that were raised aside from the production schedule. MS. HILTON: Hi, Your Honor, good afternoon, Your Honors, Layne Hilton on behalf of plaintiffs. We raised the issue of what we call improperly withheld documents.